Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,332	CAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benny Lee	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Benny Lee (PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>lan McKee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 January 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 33-37 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/540,332

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The improper numbering of the claims 33-36 in an earlier response by applicant was discussed and the examiner acknowledges the correct numbering of claims 33-37 in the current response. Moreover, it was agreed that claim 37 would be deleted to expedite prosecution. See the accompanying examiners amendment.